

<b>POSM Protocol R1-01: Individualized Supports and Services</b>	
<b>Category</b>	Child and Family Outcomes
<b>Purpose</b>	IFSP outcomes, goals and services clearly connect to child and family assessment information gathered throughout referral, intake and ASP.
<b>IDEA Foundation(s)</b>	<p><a href="#">34 CFR 303.321(a)(1)(ii)(B)</a> specifies that children who are found eligible for Part C early intervention services are to receive “a family-directed assessment of the resources, priorities, and concerns of the family and the identification of the supports and services necessary to enhance the family's capacity to meet the developmental needs of that infant or toddler.”</p> <p>→ <i>In its evaluation the SLA would expect to find evidence of family concerns and priorities being incorporated into their IFSPs and related documents.</i></p> <p><a href="#">(34 CFR 303.344(c))</a> specifies that IFSPs “...must include a statement of the measurable results or measurable outcomes expected to be achieved for the child (including pre-literacy and language skills, as developmentally appropriate for the child) and family, and the criteria, procedures, and timelines used to determine (1) the degree to which progress toward achieving the results or outcomes identified in the IFSP is being made; and (2) whether modifications or revisions of the expected results or outcomes, or early intervention services identified in the IFSP are necessary.”</p> <p>→ <i>In its evaluation the SLA would expect to see functional outcomes and goals that each family could then use to measure and evaluate their child’s progress while receiving early intervention supports and services.</i></p> <p><a href="#">34 CFR 303.344(d)(1)</a> specifies that “the IFSP must include a statement of the specific early intervention services, based on peer-reviewed research (to the extent practicable), that are necessary to meet the unique needs of the child and the family to achieve the [identified] results or outcomes.”</p> <p>→ <i>In its evaluation the SLA would expect to see a variety of individualized supports and services across a representative sample of cases that meet the varied needs of children and families as opposed to little or no variation in services.</i></p>

## POSM Protocol R1-01: Individualized Supports and Services

<b>Local Part C Contract Requirement(s)</b>	2.1.2.b.(4) – [LSM roles and responsibilities] ... Working in partnership with families, agencies and professionals to maintain a local service delivery system that provides individualized, family-centered supports and services for all eligible children and their families.
<b>Responsible Party</b>	Part C monitoring team
<b>Frequency and Method</b>	1x/POSM cycle; desk audit and interview(s)
<b>Data Source(s)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State data system (TRAC-IT) with supporting documentation provided by locality (if not readily accessible in TRAC-IT)</li> <li>• Interview(s)</li> <li>• POSM Provider Survey</li> </ul>
<b>Amount of Data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Case review(s); determined by annualized child count: 1-200 = 15 records; 201-500 = 22 records; 501+ = 30 records</li> <li>• Interview(s); varies by locality; determined by Part C monitoring team with LSM input</li> </ul>
<b>Compliance Criteria</b>	<p>Evidence of requirement(s) as demonstrated by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IFSP outcomes clearly connect to child and family assessment information, including family priorities, and provide a foundation for evidence-based service delivery (through coaching and natural learning environment practices).</li> <li>IFSP goals and outcomes are functional and measurable.</li> <li>IFSP services appear reasonable in order to meet the needs of the child and family and achieve identified outcomes.</li> </ol>
<b>Monitoring Summary</b>	The Part C monitoring team identifies a representative sample of local cases to be reviewed from a 3-month period. Utilizing a review tool for consistency, team members look for connections from intake through ASP to IFSP development to ensure family priorities and concerns are reflected. The team also looks for measurable goals and outcomes, as well as IFSP services that appear reasonable to meet the needs of children and families served by the local system.

## POSM Protocol R1-01: Individualized Supports and Services

<b>Required Action(s)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If all (100%) records reviewed meet compliance criteria c AND 80% or more of records reviewed meet compliance criteria a and b, no further action(s) required. -OR-</li> <li>• If fewer than all (100%) records reviewed meet compliance criteria c., and/or if fewer than 80% of records reviewed meet compliance criteria a. and/or b., the locality must within ninety (90) days of notification of finding(s) develop a process (for SLA review and approval) to improve performance and subsequently implement identified improvement activities as soon as possible but in no case later than nine (9) months post notification of finding(s).</li> </ul>
<b>Revision History</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Original: 2024-10</li> <li>• Revised: 2025-08 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ IDEA Foundation(s): REMOVED 34 CFR § 303.342 – Procedures for IFSP development, review and evaluation. REPLACED with 34 CFR 303.321(a)(1)(ii)(B)</li> <li>○ IDEA Foundation(s): ADDED 34 CFR 303.344(c)</li> <li>○ IDEA Foundation(s): ADDED 34 CFR 303.344(d)(1)</li> <li>○ IDEA Foundation(s): ADDED “<i>In its evaluation...</i>” to item(s) for additional context</li> <li>○ Data Source(s): ADDED “<i>POSM Provider Survey</i>”</li> <li>○ Compliance Criteria: REMOVED “(above)”</li> <li>○ Monitoring Summary: Edited to reflect actual practice</li> <li>○ Table: Formatting changes</li> <li>○ Footer: Specified ORIG date; added REV date</li> </ul> </li> </ul>